


Campus Computing 2007

Executive Summary

The Campus Computing Survey, a part of the Campus Computing Project, is an annual national survey of information technology in higher education. The survey focuses primarily on academic computing issues. Southeastern Louisiana University submitted the 2007 Campus Computing Survey on October 3, 2007. This report shows a comparison of campus computing at Southeastern at that time with Public Universities and All Institutions who responded, based on the 2007 Campus Computing Survey.

Uses of Information Technology


- Southeastern rates higher than All Institutions and Public Universities in use of *computer-based classrooms/labs, computer based simulations/exercises, and electronic mail.*



Points of Excellence

- While many institutions experienced security incidents such as *theft of computer(s) containing confidential data files, hack/attack on the campus network, identity management issues, major computer virus infestation, major spyware infestation, and explore/loss of sensitive data in distributed environment*, Southeastern did not experience any security incidents.


- Southeastern is among 44% of All Institutions and 33% of Public Universities that require computer instruction or competency for all undergraduate students.
- Southeastern ranked quite well in the quality of its Web site, providing most of the academic resources and services to students, faculty and staff. *Admission and financial aid applications, course catalog, course registration, fee-payment, on-line courses, library catalog, and IT support resources and training* are just some of the resources and services available through Southeastern's Web site.
- The technology infrastructure at Southeastern is outstanding. In almost all the categories, Southeastern rates better than the average rate of All Institutions and Public Universities.




Areas for Improvement

- The *number of network servers* at Southeastern is quite low. While Southeastern has 50 network servers, the average of All Institutions have 193 and Public Universities have 630 network servers.

- The average *number of FTE help desk/technical support personnel* at All Institutions is 40 and at Public Universities is 139, while Southeastern has only 20. The *ratio user support (enrollment/help desk)* at Southeastern is 680 students to 1 help desk person, while the ratio at All Institutions is 260:1 and at Public Universities is 174:1.
- While campus network and Internet access are provided to all faculty and available in all dormitories at Southeastern, the percentage of overall campus and classrooms covered by wireless network access is quite low. Only 25% of overall campus and 5% of the classrooms are covered or served by wireless network access.



- Southeastern limits the file size of email documents or attachments to a maximum of 10 MB. The average maximum file size at All Institutions is 23 MB and at Public Universities is 39 MB.
- Students at Southeastern are allowed to have a Web site with a maximum size of 50 MB. The average size at All Institutions is 117 MB and at Public Universities is 208 MB.



Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Number of Respondents	1	555	79
General Campus Policies About Desktop Computers			
Does your Institution have:		% Yes	% Yes
A formal policy promoting or mandating computers/technology resources for			
Curriculum utilization?	No	30.1 %	29.5 %
Undergraduates?	No	23.8 %	36.7 %
Graduate/professional students?	No	37.3 %	39.2 %
Distance education?	No	34.6 %	41.8 %
A computer instruction/competency, technology/information literacy requirement for		% Yes	% Yes
All undergraduates?	Yes	43.8 %	32.9 %
All faculty?	No	10.3 %	1.3 %
All administrators?	No	11.0 %	3.8 %
All staff?	No	11.2 %	6.3 %
A special computer use/technology fee or annual/term computer use charge for all students?	Yes	55.0 %	73.4 %
Average annual computer use fee (where charged)	\$ 120	\$ 103	\$ 157
A written policy/code of conduct/acceptable use policy for		% Yes	% Yes
Campus e-mail accounts?	Yes	96.2 %	98.7 %
Campus-hosted individual/personal Web pages?	Yes	80.3 %	92.4 %
Duplication of copyrighted software/software piracy?	Yes	96.2 %	100.0 %
Fair use of copyrighted content (books, articles, etc.)?	Yes	92.6 %	93.7 %
Downloading commercial music/videos from the web?	Yes	82.9 %	92.4 %
Student use of social networking sites (Facebook, MySpace, etc.)?	No	13.2 %	14.1 %
Operating systems recommended/supported		% Yes	% Yes
Mac OS X	Yes	87.4 %	94.9 %
UNIX	Yes	59.7 %	82.3 %
Linux	Yes	72.8 %	88.6 %
Windows NT Workstation	Yes	18.3 %	29.1 %
Windows 2000/XP	Yes	99.3 %	98.7 %
Windows Vista	No	46.9 %	49.4 %
Open VMS	Yes	12.1 %	13.9 %
Sun/Open Solaris	No	43.0 %	72.2 %
Novell	Yes	27.9 %	36.7 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
General Campus Policies About Desktop Computers (Continued)			
Do you require or strongly recommend computer or PDA/Handheld for students			
Computer for all undergraduate students?			
No		47.8 %	38.0 %
Recommend	Recommend	44.8 %	50.6 %
Require		7.4 %	11.4 %
Computer for undergraduates in specific disciplines or academic programs?			
No		39.8 %	12.7 %
Recommend	Recommend	43.2 %	43.0 %
Require		17.1 %	44.3 %
PDA/Handhelds for undergraduates in specific disciplines or academic programs?			
No		84.7 %	77.2 %
Recommend	Recommend	9.9 %	16.5 %
Require		5.4 %	6.3 %
iPods or other multi-media devices in specific disciplines/academic programs			
No	No	92.0 %	81.6 %
Recommend		7.1 %	18.4 %
Require		0.9 %	0.0 %
Cell phones for all students?			
No	No	92.6 %	92.2 %
Recommend		7.4 %	7.8 %
Require		0.0 %	0.0 %
Does your institution (or individual units) recommend a particular brand or product for			
Hardware		% Yes	% Yes
students?	Yes	44.3 %	44.3 %
faculty?	Yes	84.2 %	67.1 %
administrators/staff?	Yes	86.0 %	69.6 %
Software			
students?	No	70.7 %	67.1 %
faculty?	Yes	90.8 %	77.2 %
administrators/staff?	Yes	92.4 %	79.7 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
General Campus Policies About Desktop Computers (Continued)			
As of Fall 2007, will your institution have an initial or single sign-on campus portal?			
Campus portal not available as of Fall 2007		10.8 %	1.3 %
Portal issue under discussion/review		17.6 %	11.4 %
Portal being installed/under development in 2007/08	Portal being installed/ under development in 2007/08	18.7 %	12.7 %
Campus portal up and functioning for Fall 2007		52.9 %	74.7 %
Our campus portal is/will be:		% Yes	% Yes
Homegrown/local		18.2 %	17.3 %
Blackboard/WebCT		7.7 %	6.7 %
Campus Cruiser		2.5 %	0.0 %
eCollege		0.2 %	0.0 %
Jenzabar		6.0 %	0.0 %
Oracle/People Soft	Oracle/PeopleSoft	12.0 %	25.3 %
SunGard Higher Ed/Luminis-Campus Pipeline		26.7 %	29.3 %
Sun Microsystems Portal		0.2 %	0.0 %
Time Cruiser		0.4 %	0.0 %
Unicon/Academus		1.7 %	1.3 %
uPortal		6.6 %	12.0 %
Other		17.8 %	8.0 %
Uses of Information Technology			
How strongly do you agree or strongly agree:			
Faculty have unreasonable expectations about user support	Agree	% Agree or Strongly Agree 48.3 %	% Agree or Strongly Agree 41.0 %
Technology has improved instruction on my campus	Strongly Agree	93.2 %	93.6 %
We plan to require all students to own a computer by Fall 2008	Disagree	9.9 %	14.1 %
Access to Internet 2 by Fall 2008 is essential to our long-term tech needs	Agree	33.5 %	76.9 %
We are experiencing major cost over-runs/unexpected costs in our ERP deployment activities	Strongly Agree	17.9 %	19.2 %
Open source offers a viable alternative for key campus ERP applications	Disagree	27.6 %	29.5 %
Open source will play an increasing important role in our campus IT strategy	Strongly Agree	57.3 %	62.8 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Uses of Information Technology (Continued)			
The single most important IT issue over the next 2 or 3 years is:		% Most Important	% Most Important
Providing online/distance education via the web	Providing online/distance education via the web	5.4 %	2.6 %
Providing adequate user support		8.9 %	5.1 %
Assisting faculty integrate technology into instruction		11.2 %	12.8 %
Financing replacement of aging hardware/software		10.3 %	7.7 %
Moving toward campus-wide wireless networks		1.5 %	0.0 %
Integrating academic and administrative IT services		2.9 %	6.4 %
Providing student portal services		4.5 %	2.6 %
Upgrading/replacing network and data security		25.5 %	20.5 %
Hiring/retaining qualified IT staff		12.3 %	18 %
Upgrading/replacing administrative IT/ERP systems		13.0 %	21.8 %
Upgrading/replacing campus network		3.3 %	2.6 %
Upgrading/replacing emergency communications		1.3 %	0.0 %
Current IT/Computer Facilities and Resources			
		Average	Average
Headcount enrollment on campus as of Spring 2007	13,596	10,288	24,191
Number of institution owned desktop or notebook computers as of May 2007	4,800	3,548	10,193
Number of institution owned Unix workstations as of May 2007	15	120	512
Number of personally owned computers used on campus as of May 2007	350	3,771	12,357
Proportion of individuals who own desktop or notebook computers			
Students			
Desktops	25 %	44.8 %	51.0 %
Notebooks	25 %	50.5 %	54.2 %
Faculty			
Desktops	80 %	66.5 %	79.5 %
Notebooks	20 %	35.3 %	39.7 %
Number of desktop computer labs, clusters and classrooms as of May 2007			
How many dedicated to departments or units?	52	86	176.5
	30	37	76.2

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Current IT/Computer Facilities and Resources (Continued)			
Number of desktop computers/workstations in all labs/classrooms/clusters as of May 2007			
Notebook/Desktop Computers	1,521	1,068	2,338
Unix Workstations	0	37	162
Number of network servers as of May 2007	50	193	630
Percentage of operating systems installed on institutionally-owned computers and servers			
Computers/clients			
Mac	3 %	12.3 %	13.2 %
Windows 2000/XP	85 %	75.7 %	65.5 %
Windows Vista	0 %	3.9 %	6.8 %
Unix	1 %	1.9 %	4.0 %
Linux	11 %	2.8 %	4.7 %
Network servers			
Mac	0 %	3.1 %	3.7 %
Windows 2000/03	35 %	57.3 %	44.2 %
Solaris/Open Solaris	0 %	6.5 %	11.2 %
Unix (non-Solaris)	5 %	6.8 %	12.9 %
Linux	35 %	13.7 %	18.1 %
Novell	25 %	7.5 %	5.8 %
Total Number (FTE) of IT help desk/technical support personnel	20	39.5	139.1
Ratio user support (enrollment/help desk)	679.8	260.4	173.9
Percentage of faculty with individual/personal Web page	1.0	30.6	35.8
Percentage of classes that use:			
Computer-based classrooms/labs	40 %	39.4 %	36.1 %
Computer-based simulations/exercises	25 %	19.0 %	17.3 %
Presentation handouts	30 %	56.0 %	50.9 %
Electronic mail	100 %	81.5 %	86.7 %
Commercial courseware/instructional resources	15 %	31.9 %	29.3 %
Internet resources (from off-campus resources)	10 %	60.0 %	61.9 %
Course management tools for online course resources	25 %	49.6 %	55.6 %
Web pages for class materials and resources	50 %	42.8 %	51.0 %
"Clickers"/classroom response system	5 %	4.6 %	8.5 %
Podcasting	1 %	2.3 %	3.3 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Academic & Instructional Computing Policies, Procedures and Resources			
Does your campus/institution		% Yes	% Yes
Have formal projects for developing desktop instructional software/courseware?	No	65.8 %	81.0 %
Provide support for faculty developing instructional software/courseware?	Yes	78.3 %	82.3 %
Provide support for faculty developing software for their research?	Yes	44.4 %	59.5 %
Have a program for rewarding courseware development?	No	39.3 %	44.3 %
Have a technology resource center focusing on use of IT?	Yes	83.4 %	92.4 %
Have agreements/licenses for duplication of software products?	Yes	85.2 %	94.9 %
Have a formal plan for using Internet for marketing to off-campus audiences?	Yes	70.0 %	74.7 %
Have a formal program to reward the use of IT in faculty review/promotion process?	No	20.5 %	15.2 %
Maintain library of academic courseware?	No	27.9 %	34.2 %
Have a formal program assessing the impact of IT on instruction?	No	24.8 %	31.6 %
Have a formal policy regarding ownership of Web-based resources developed by faculty?	Yes	56.7 %	75.9 %
Have a formal program to provide supplemental IT training for IT staff?	Yes	62.9 %	73.4 %
Assess impact of IT on instructional services and academic programs	No	40.5 %	41.8 %
Charge students for access to digital content (online reserves, course packets, etc.)?	No	5.8 %	5.1 %
Recycle most (60% or more) of the institution's used/obsolete computers?	Yes	87.0 %	88.6 %
Inform students about privacy issues related to social networking sites?	No	50.5 %	57.0 %
Maintain a campus page on Facebook	No	12.6 %	10.5 %
Maintain a campus page on MySpace	No	10.9 %	13.0 %
Have institutional presence on Second Life	No	15.6 %	33.8 %
Maintain a public campus Wiki	No	13.0 %	20.8 %
Does your institution have a strategic plan for:			
Information technology?			
no		4.2 %	3.8 %
currently preparing a plan	currently preparing a plan	22.6 %	17.7 %
yes		73.2 %	78.5 %
Instructional technology/instruction integration?			
no		23.3 %	24.1 %
currently preparing a plan	currently preparing a plan	28.8 %	20.3 %
yes		47.9 %	55.7 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Academic & Instructional Computing Policies, Procedures and Resources (Continued)			
Does your institution have a strategic plan for:			
Deploying course management tools?			
no		20.3 %	16.5 %
currently preparing a plan	currently preparing a plan	19.5 %	13.9 %
yes		60.2 %	69.6 %
Distance education?			
no		31.8 %	21.5 %
currently preparing a plan		23.0 %	27.9 %
yes	yes	45.2 %	50.6 %
Campus portal services?			
no		22.1 %	13.9 %
currently preparing a plan	currently preparing a plan	30.2 %	24.1 %
yes		47.7 %	62.0 %
Wireless networks?			
no		7.6 %	7.6 %
currently preparing a plan		15.7 %	10.1 %
yes	yes	76.7 %	82.3 %
Web services (integration/deployment)?			
no		20.6 %	29.1 %
currently preparing a plan	currently preparing a plan	24.1 %	19.0 %
yes		55.3 %	51.9 %
Network security?			
no		6.3 %	2.5 %
currently preparing a plan	currently preparing a plan	21.5 %	15.2 %
yes		72.2 %	82.3 %
IT disaster recovery?			
no		4.7 %	1.3 %
currently preparing a plan		36.2 %	32.9 %
yes	yes	59.1 %	65.8 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Academic & Instructional Computing Policies, Procedures and Resources (Continued)			
Does your institution have a strategic plan for:			
Administrative Systems/ERP upgrade/replacement?			
no		12.5 %	6.3 %
currently preparing a plan	currently preparing a plan	14.5 %	16.5 %
yes		73.1 %	77.2 %
Digital content management?			
no		38.0 %	34.2 %
currently preparing a plan	currently preparing a plan	36.8 %	29.1 %
yes		25.2 %	36.7 %
Data warehousing			
no	no	37.3 %	17.7 %
currently preparing a plan		31.8 %	30.4 %
yes		30.9 %	51.9 %
Business intelligence/analytics			
no	no	54.8 %	36.7 %
currently preparing a plan		30.3 %	40.5 %
yes		14.9 %	22.8 %
Open Source deployment and development			
no	no	72.8 %	65.8 %
currently preparing a plan		14.9 %	16.5 %
yes		12.3 %	17.7 %
Podcasting course lectures/resources			
no	no	46.5 %	40.5 %
currently preparing a plan		40.3 %	38.0 %
yes		13.2 %	21.5 %
Emergency communication/notification			
no		6.9 %	5.1 %
currently preparing a plan	currently preparing a plan	49.1 %	50.6 %
yes		44.0 %	44.3 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Academic & Instructional Computing Policies, Procedures and Resources (Continued)			
Does your institution have a strategic plan for:			
Digital preservation/data archiving			
no		38.5 %	32.9 %
currently preparing a plan	currently preparing a plan	41.8 %	41.8 %
yes		19.6 %	25.3 %
Cellular phones/mobile devices			
no	no	56.9 %	48.1 %
currently preparing a plan		23.9 %	31.7 %
yes		19.3 %	20.3 %
"Web 2.0" resources and services			
no	no	66.0 %	57.0 %
currently preparing a plan		29.0 %	40.5 %
yes		5.0 %	2.5 %
508 accessibility/compliance for Web pages/resources			
no		37.9 %	26.6 %
currently preparing a plan	currently preparing a plan	29.6 %	29.1 %
yes		32.5 %	44.3 %
Has your institution established a single product standard for:			
Desktop/notebook computer operating system?			
No	No	70.8 %	91.1 %
Macintosh		0.4 %	0.0 %
Windows 2000/XP		25.6 %	7.6 %
Windows Vista		2.9 %	1.3 %
Linux		0.4 %	0.0 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Academic & Instructional Computing Policies, Procedures and Resources (Continued)			
Has your institution established a single product standard for:			
Desktop/notebook product or manufacturer?			
No	No	66.7 %	88.6 %
Apple		0.4 %	0.0 %
Dell		19.1 %	7.6 %
Gateway		3.8 %	2.5 %
HP/Compaq		4.7 %	0.0 %
Lenovo		2.7 %	1.3 %
Other		2.7 %	0.0 %
Course management system?			
No		9.9 %	10.1 %
Angel		4.1 %	1.3 %
Blackboard/WebCT	Blackboard	66.3 %	70.9 %
eCollege		1.6 %	0.0 %
Desire2Learn		3.2 %	7.6 %
Moodle		7.8 %	3.8 %
Sakai		2.5 %	5.1 %
Other		4.5 %	1.3 %
What academic resources/services are on your campus Web site?		% Yes	% Yes
Undergraduate admissions applications	Yes	98.2 %	97.5 %
Financial aid application	Yes	91.0 %	92.4 %
Current course catalog	Yes	99.6 %	100.0 %
Program/major/degree requirements	Yes	98.2 %	98.7 %
Course registration	Yes	94.4 %	98.7 %
Course add/drop options	Yes	89.9 %	98.7 %
E-commerce (fee payments, etc.)	Yes	82.9 %	94.9 %
On-line courses (i.e., full course online)	Yes	77.9 %	93.7 %
Student ePortfolios	Yes	34.9 %	50.6 %
Library/card catalog	Yes	94.4 %	97.5 %
Interlibrary loan services	Yes	86.7 %	91.1 %
Journals and reference resources	Yes	93.2 %	96.2 %
Course reserves	Yes	65.6 %	82.3 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Academic & Instructional Computing Policies, Procedures and Resources (Continued)			
What academic resources/services are on your campus Web site?		% Yes	% Yes
Student transcripts	Yes	85.1 %	94.9 %
Degree audit software	Yes	70.1 %	79.7 %
IT support resources	Yes	94.4 %	100.0 %
IT training/tutorials	Yes	83.8 %	92.4 %
Instructional software	Yes	64.0 %	87.3 %
Desktop software (MS Office, etc.)	No	47.3 %	70.9 %
Faculty/staff directory	Yes	97.7 %	100.0 %
Campus dining services	Yes	50.2 %	57.0 %
Campus housing services	Yes	44.8 %	64.6 %
Student health services	Yes	35.6 %	53.2 %
Student newspaper	Yes	69.1 %	88.6 %
Student handbook	Yes	91.0 %	97.5 %
Athletic event schedule	Yes	90.1 %	98.7 %
Alumni information/services	Yes	90.6 %	97.5 %
Press releases/media services	Yes	95.7 %	97.5 %
Campus book store	Yes	86.9 %	92.4 %
Campus resale services	Yes	34.5 %	54.4 %
Personalized student calendar	Yes	47.7 %	59.5 %
Campus OneCard account services	No	40.5 %	68.4 %
Digital Music Service (Napster, etc.)	No	12.9 %	25.3 %
Future Issues Affecting Campus Computing			
How important are the following to campus computing and IT planning over the next 2-3 years? (Scale from 1 = "Not Important" to 7 = "Very Important")		Average	Average
Operating system/interface/development tools			
Windows XP	7	5.5	5.2
Windows Vista	6	5.9	6.1
Windows Server	5	6.2	6.1
Macintosh OS X (client)	4	4.9	5.0
Macintosh OS X (server)	1	3.6	3.9
Solaris/Open Solaris	1	3.4	4.7

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Future Issues Affecting Campus Computing (Continued)			
How important are the following to campus computing and IT planning over the next 2-3 years? (Scale from 1 = "Not Important" to 7 = "Very Important")		Average	Average
Operating system/interface/development tools			
Unix	6	4.3	5.1
Linux (client)	5	3.7	4.6
Linux (server)	6	5.2	5.9
O/S Interoperability	4	5.2	5.5
Hardware			
Notebook computers	7	6.4	6.4
Macintosh computers	4	4.6	4.9
Unix workstations	5	2.7	3.9
Tablet computers	6	4.2	4.5
PDAs/handheld computers	6	4.8	5.3
Cellular/mobile phones	3	5.4	5.8
WiFi enabled cell phones	2	4.5	4.8
iPods/MP3 players	2	4.2	4.4
Instructional applications and resources			
Developing instructional software	7	4.5	4.6
Using instructional software in classes	7	6.1	6.1
Using instructional software as a supplement to classes	7	6.3	6.3
Computer-based classroom presentation facilities	7	6.5	6.5
Internet resources for instruction	7	6.5	6.4
Web pages for classes	7	5.9	6.0
Web-based tutorials	7	5.6	5.8
e-Books (e-textbooks)	7	4.4	4.3
Course management systems	7	6.5	6.7
On-line course evaluation	7	5.8	5.9
Classroom "clickers"	5	4.5	5.1
Wireless access in campus classrooms	5	5.8	6.0

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Future Issues Affecting Campus Computing (Continued)			
How important are the following to campus computing and IT planning over the next 2-3 years? (Scale from 1 = "Not Important" to 7 = "Very Important")		Average	Average
User support services/campus IT services			
On-line IT training	7	5.3	5.3
On-line technical support	7	5.9	6.0
Computer resale program	7	3.2	3.5
Computer repair services	7	4.4	4.2
Help-desk services	7	6.6	6.6
Alumni e-mail accounts	7	4.4	4.8
Alumni services via the campus Web site	7	5.2	5.2
Student eProfiles	7	5.1	5.3
Networking & Internet/Web issues & resources			
Wireless networks (802.Xstds)	6	6.6	6.6
Wi-Max networks	5	4.5	4.7
Voice over IP	7	5.5	5.8
Microsoft Exchange	2	5.0	5.2
Java	6	5.4	5.8
XML (SOAP)	5	5.2	5.8
NET (Microsoft)	5	4.5	4.8
Open Net/Java Enterprise (Sun)	2	3.6	4.2
QuickTime Player	2	4.7	4.8
Real Player	4	4.5	4.5
Microsoft Media Player	4	5.0	5.1
Gigabit Ethernet	7	6.4	6.5
Grid Computing	3	3.7	5.3
Adobe Acrobat	6	5.7	5.8
Internet videoconferencing	7	5.7	6.1
VPN/Virtual Private Networks	4	5.7	5.9
Identity management	7	6.4	6.7
Open source software	5	4.8	5.2
Student portal services	6	6.2	6.3
SCORMS standards	1	3.7	4.3

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Future Issues Affecting Campus Computing (Continued)			
How important are the following to campus computing and IT planning over the next 2-3 years? (Scale from 1 = "Not Important" to 7 = "Very Important")		Average	Average
Networking & Internet/Web issues & resources			
Data encryption)	5	6.0	6.3
Content management systems	4	6.0	6.1
Instant messaging	6	4.9	5.2
Wikis	2	4.5	4.9
Podcasting	3	5.0	5.2
Blogging	3	4.6	4.7
Administrative software/ERP--Upgrade or replacement			
Accounting/Financial Management	6	5.9	6.1
Admissions/Recruitment	6	6.2	6.3
Alumni	6	5.3	5.1
CRM software	2	4.8	4.6
Development	4	5.3	5.0
eProcurement/Purchasing	6	5.3	5.7
Human Resources	6	5.7	5.9
Student Financial Aid Management	6	6.0	6.1
Student Information Systems (SIS)	6	6.1	6.2
Business Intelligence/Analytics	2	5.1	5.6
Vendor Services/Outsourcing			
Data back-up or data storage	1	3.3	3.1
ERP services	1	2.5	2.1
Instructional technology services	1	2.4	2.1
User support	1	2.5	2.3
ResNet services	1	2.3	2.5
eProcurement	1	3.1	3.1
Student/campus portal	1	3.3	2.7
Web hosting	1	3.3	2.8
Student email services	2	3.8	4.1

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Rating the Technology Infrastructure			
(Scale from 1 = "Poor" to 7 = "Excellent")			
		Average	Average
Computer networks and data communication	6	6.2	6.1
Telecommunications and phone system	6	5.6	5.7
Wireless networks	6	5.3	5.4
User support services	6	5.6	5.5
On-line reference resources in campus library/library system	6	5.9	5.9
Web resources to support instruction	6	5.4	5.6
Campus web site services/student portal	6	5.0	5.2
Network security against hackers and virus attacks	6	5.7	5.6
Disaster planning	6	4.5	4.6
IT training for faculty	6	4.7	4.9
IT training for students	6	4.0	4.4
Campus portal	6	4.1	4.7
Data warehousing	6	3.6	4.3
Digital dashboards/ERP analytics	3	2.8	3.0
Emergency communications/notification system(s)	2	4.2	4.5
Addressing Budget Issues by:			
Reducing purchases of computer technology			
Doing this already		22.4 %	24.1 %
Beginning in 2007-08		3.3 %	1.3 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		10.5 %	10.1 %
Decided not to do	Decided not to do	63.8 %	64.4 %
Charging fees to departments and service units			
Doing this already	Doing this already	26.4 %	67.1 %
Beginning in 2007-08		2.7 %	2.5 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		12.6 %	11.4 %
Decided not to do		58.3 %	19.0 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Addressing Budget Issues by: (Continued)			
Requiring a computer/IT fee for all students			
Doing this already	Doing this already	55.5 %	72.2 %
Beginning in 2007-08		0.7 %	1.3 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		6.1 %	12.7 %
Decided not to do		37.7 %	13.9 %
Leasing rather than buying hardware			
Doing this already		20.4 %	24.1 %
Beginning in 2007-08		1.4 %	1.3 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		12.8 %	13.9 %
Decided not to do	Decided not to do	65.3 %	60.8 %
Reducing hours in public access facilities			
Doing this already		14.1 %	16.5 %
Beginning in 2007-08		1.3 %	3.8 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		6.1 %	10.1 %
Decided not to do	Decided not to do	78.5 %	69.6 %
Reducing services			
Doing this already		20.2 %	27.9 %
Beginning in 2007-08		1.8 %	3.8 %
Reviewing for 2007-08	Reviewing for 2007-08	10.3 %	8.9 %
Decided not to do		67.7 %	59.5 %
Reorganizing operations			
Doing this already		55.7 %	70.9 %
Beginning in 2007-08		7.4 %	13.9 %
Reviewing for 2007-08	Reviewing for 2007-08	15.7 %	11.4 %
Decided not to do		21.3 %	3.8 %
Reducing Staff			
Doing this already		17.2 %	21.5 %
Beginning in 2007-08		2.2 %	3.8 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		6.0 %	15.2 %
Decided not to do	Decided not to do	74.7 %	59.5 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Addressing Budget Issues by: (Continued)			
Using information technology to reduce instructional costs			
Doing this already	Doing this already	44.3 %	55.7 %
Beginning in 2007-08		4.1 %	3.8 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		19.5 %	17.7 %
Decided not to do		32.1 %	22.8 %
Making greater use of student assistants for user support services			
Doing this already	Doing this already	78.0 %	86.1 %
Beginning in 2007-08		2.9 %	3.8 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		6.5 %	6.3 %
Decided not to do		12.6 %	3.8 %
Outsourcing computing/IT services to commercial providers			
Doing this already	Doing this already	16.8 %	11.4 %
Beginning in 2007-08		2.0 %	2.5 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		10.3 %	12.7 %
Decided not to do		70.9 %	73.4 %
Outsourcing student portal services to commercial providers			
Doing this already		6.7 %	0.0 %
Beginning in 2007-08		0.9 %	0.0 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		6.3 %	3.8 %
Decided not to do	Decided not to do	86.1 %	96.2 %
Outsourcing user support services to commercial providers			
Doing this already		8.7 %	9.0 %
Beginning in 2007-08		0.9 %	1.3 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		10.9 %	11.5 %
Decided not to do	Decided not to do	79.5 %	78.2 %
Outsourcing ERP services			
Doing this already		8.5 %	6.8 %
Beginning in 2007-08		0.8 %	1.4 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		4.0 %	4.1 %
Decided not to do	Decided not to do	86.8 %	87.8 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Addressing Budget Issues by: (Continued)			
Outsourcing ResNet services			
Doing this already		6.2 %	5.3 %
Beginning in 2007-08		0.9 %	1.3 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		8.9 %	12.0 %
Decided not to do	Decided not to do	84.0 %	81.3 %
Outsourcing student email services			
Doing this already		9.3 %	4.0 %
Beginning in 2007-08		6.7 %	7.9 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		29.1 %	48.7 %
Decided not to do	Decided not to do	54.9 %	39.5 %
Delaying/deffering ERP deployment/replacement/upgrades			
Doing this already		13.5 %	13.9 %
Beginning in 2007-08		1.4 %	1.3 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		7.4 %	10.1 %
Decided not to do	Decided not to do	77.6 %	74.7 %
Deferring/reducing use of consultants on IT projects			
Doing this already		43.0 %	48.1 %
Beginning in 2007-08		1.6 %	3.8 %
Reviewing for 2007-08	Reviewing for 2007-08	13.0 %	16.5 %
Decided not to do		42.4 %	31.7 %
Migrating to Linux/Open Source desktop applications			
Doing this already		7.6 %	11.4 %
Beginning in 2007-08		1.3 %	1.3 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		13.5 %	22.8 %
Decided not to do	Decided not to do	77.6 %	64.6 %
Migrating to Open Source administrative/ERP applications			
Doing this already		5.6 %	7.6 %
Beginning in 2007-08		1.1 %	0.0 %
Reviewing for 2007-08		11.0 %	17.7 %
Decided not to do	Decided not to do	82.3 %	74.7 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Addressing Budget Issues by: (Continued)			
Negotiating as a state system/consortium for ERP software and services			
Doing this already		41.6 %	59.5 %
Beginning in 2007-08		0.4 %	2.5 %
Reviewing for 2007-08	Reviewing for 2007-08	7.8 %	6.3 %
Decided not to do		50.3 %	31.7 %
Negotiating as a state system/consortium for digital content for the library, curriculum, etc.			
Doing this already		65.6 %	63.3 %
Beginning in 2007-08		2.0 %	1.3 %
Reviewing for 2007-08	Reviewing for 2007-08	11.6 %	15.2 %
Decided not to do		20.8 %	20.3 %
Negotiating as a state system/consortium for desktop application software			
Doing this already		67.6 %	69.6 %
Beginning in 2007-08		1.8 %	1.3 %
Reviewing for 2007-08	Reviewing for 2007-08	9.2 %	7.6 %
Decided not to do		21.4 %	21.5 %
Strategic, Budget and Personnel Issues			
(Scale from 1 = "Not Important" to 7 = "Very Important")			
		Average	Average
Assessing benefits of existing investment in computing and technology resources	7	6.0	6.0
Clarifying goals and campus plans for technology resources	7	6.4	6.5
Providing incentives and rewards for faculty to support tech. integration into the curriculum	6	4.8	5.1
Allocating campus funds to support expanded services	6	5.6	5.8
Faculty concerns about the benefits of computing in the curriculum	6	4.9	5.0
Administrative concerns about the benefits of computing in the curriculum	6	4.7	4.5
Establishing/maintaining campus-wide standards for hardware	7	5.9	5.4
Establishing/maintaining campus-wide standards for software	7	6.0	5.6
Operating a computer resale program for students & faculty	5	2.7	3.0
Developing budget mechanism to replace aging equipment on a routine basis	6	6.2	6.2
Using technology based commercial curriculum products	6	4.7	4.5
Using technology resources to enhance distance education program	7	5.2	5.8
Negotiating site licensing with textbook publishers	7	4.0	3.7
Negotiating site licensing with academic publishers	6	4.2	3.9

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Strategic, Budget and Personnel Issues (Continued)			
(Scale from 1 = "Not Important" to 7 = "Very Important")			
		Average	Average
Sharing digital resources with other campuses/institutions	6	5.1	5.6
Developing/updating campus policies for Web-based intellectual property	7	5.4	5.3
Helping IT personnel stay current with new technologies	7	6.4	6.2
Retaining current IT personnel, given off-campus competition	7	6.1	6.1
Moving more of our user support services to the Web	6	5.9	5.9
Surveying student and faculty about IT issues and services	6	5.8	5.9
Assessing the return on investment for IT spending/resources	6	5.5	5.4
Researching the total cost of ownership (TCO) for IT purchases	5	5.2	5.4
Using Open Source tools and applications	5	4.3	4.6
Supporting PDA/handheld devices	4	4.7	5.3
Managing/distributing digital learning resources	6	5.2	5.4
Controlling/restricting file sharing of commercial content	6	5.5	5.4
Data warehousing	2	5.1	5.9
Storage management	3	5.8	6.1
Server consolidation	3	5.7	5.9
Server virtualization	3	5.7	5.8
IT Business Continuity	4	5.9	6.1
Identity Management	5	6.0	6.5
Business analytic/intelligence	3	5.0	5.3
Environmental ("green") issues in the acquisition and disposal of IT hardware	4	5.0	5.0
This Year's Computing Budget Compared to Last Year's			
Total computing budget for central IT services			
Reduced >5%		3.3 %	3.8 %
Reduced 3-5%		3.8 %	2.5 %
Reduced 1-3%		7.0 %	10.1 %
No change		30.9 %	32.9 %
Increased 1-3%		31.1 %	27.9 %
Increased 3-5%		13.5 %	13.9 %
Increased >5%	Increased >5%	10.5 %	8.9 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
This Year's Computing Budget Compared to Last Year's			
Total academic computing budget			
Reduced >5%		2.9 %	1.3 %
Reduced 3-5%		2.7 %	2.5 %
Reduced 1-3%		7.8 %	12.7 %
No change		39.7 %	36.7 %
Increased 1-3%		27.4 %	26.6 %
Increased 3-5%		11.6 %	15.2 %
Increased >5%	Increased >5%	7.9 %	5.1 %
Total administrative computing budget			
Reduced >5%		3.1 %	3.8 %
Reduced 3-5%		3.3 %	1.3 %
Reduced 1-3%		7.4 %	11.4 %
No change		37.2 %	32.9 %
Increased 1-3%		28.9 %	24.1 %
Increased 3-5%		11.7 %	15.2 %
Increased >5%	Increased >5%	8.5 %	11.4 %
Purchases of computers by academic computing units			
Reduced >5%		2.9 %	1.3 %
Reduced 3-5%		2.9 %	2.5 %
Reduced 1-3%		7.2 %	11.4 %
No change		58.3 %	59.5 %
Increased 1-3%		18.8 %	17.7 %
Increased 3-5%		6.0 %	3.8 %
Increased >5%	Increased >5%	4.0 %	3.8 %
Purchases of computers by administrative computing units			
Reduced >5%		3.8 %	3.8 %
Reduced 3-5%		3.1 %	2.5 %
Reduced 1-3%		8.1 %	7.6 %
No change		61.4 %	65.8 %
Increased 1-3%		16.1 %	15.2 %
Increased 3-5%		5.2 %	1.3 %
Increased >5%	Increased >5%	2.4 %	3.8 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
This Year's Computing Budget Compared to Last Year's (Continued)			
Purchases of computers by academic departments			
Reduced >5%		3.6 %	1.3 %
Reduced 3-5%		3.3 %	2.5 %
Reduced 1-3%		7.4 %	10.1 %
No change		59.4 %	62.0 %
Increased 1-3%	Increased 1-3%	19.7 %	19.0 %
Increased 3-5%		4.7 %	2.5 %
Increased >5%		2.0 %	2.5 %
All institutional purchases of desktop/notebook computers			
Reduced >5%		4.2 %	1.3 %
Reduced 3-5%		2.9 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		6.9 %	7.6 %
No change		45.9 %	62.0 %
Increased 1-3%		27.1 %	20.3 %
Increased 3-5%		8.8 %	7.6 %
Increased >5%	Increased >5%	4.3 %	1.3 %
Network servers			
Reduced >5%		1.8 %	0.0 %
Reduced 3-5%		2.7 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		5.1 %	5.1 %
No change		47.3 %	54.4 %
Increased 1-3%		28.9 %	26.6 %
Increased 3-5%	Increased 3-5%	8.8 %	5.1 %
Increased >5%		5.4 %	8.9 %
Server software and related products			
Reduced >5%		1.1 %	0.0 %
Reduced 3-5%		1.6 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		3.6 %	5.1 %
No change		51.3 %	54.4 %
Increased 1-3%	Increased 1-3%	28.7 %	21.5 %
Increased 3-5%		9.2 %	12.7 %
Increased >5%		4.5 %	6.3 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
This Year's Computing Budget Compared to Last Year's (Continued)			
Wireless network			
Reduced >5%		1.6 %	1.3 %
Reduced 3-5%		0.9 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		2.0 %	1.3 %
No change		35.5 %	38.5 %
Increased 1-3%		28.8 %	30.8 %
Increased 3-5%		14.7 %	7.7 %
Increased >5%	Increased >5%	16.5 %	20.5 %
User training and support			
Reduced >5%		1.6 %	0.0 %
Reduced 3-5%		1.3 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		4.0 %	5.1 %
No change		61.6 %	65.8 %
Increased 1-3%	Increased 1-3%	21.5 %	20.3 %
Increased 3-5%		7.4 %	5.1 %
Increased >5%		2.7 %	3.8 %
Professional development for IT personnel			
Reduced >5%		2.5 %	1.3 %
Reduced 3-5%		1.8 %	1.3 %
Reduced 1-3%		5.8 %	8.9 %
No change		54.0 %	59.5 %
Increased 1-3%		23.8 %	21.5 %
Increased 3-5%	Increased 3-5%	9.2 %	2.5 %
Increased >5%		2.9 %	5.1 %
Campus portal services			
Reduced >5%		1.1 %	0.0 %
Reduced 3-5%		0.7 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		3.3 %	10.1 %
No change		58.1 %	57.0 %
Increased 1-3%	Increased 1-3%	19.3 %	19.0 %
Increased 3-5%		8.3 %	6.3 %
Increased >5%		9.2 %	7.6 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
This Year's Computing Budget Compared to Last Year's (Continued)			
ERP software and services			
Reduced >5%		0.9 %	1.3 %
Reduced 3-5%		1.3 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		2.7 %	5.1 %
No change		49.8 %	43.0 %
Increased 1-3%		25.1 %	25.3 %
Increased 3-5%		9.2 %	5.1 %
Increased >5%	Increased >5%	11.0 %	20.3 %
eCommerce/campus commerce services			
Reduced >5%		1.1 %	0.0 %
Reduced 3-5%		1.6 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		3.1 %	6.3 %
No change	No change	68.6 %	67.1 %
Increased 1-3%		17.9 %	16.5 %
Increased 3-5%		4.5 %	3.8 %
Increased >5%		3.3 %	6.3 %
External service providers			
Reduced >5%		2.5 %	3.8 %
Reduced 3-5%		2.7 %	1.3 %
Reduced 1-3%		7.2 %	6.3 %
No change	No change	66.6 %	72.2 %
Increased 1-3%		13.6 %	11.4 %
Increased 3-5%		3.6 %	2.5 %
Increased >5%		3.8 %	2.5 %
Security issues			
Reduced >5%		0.5 %	0.0 %
Reduced 3-5%		0.9 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		1.4 %	1.3 %
No change		32.5 %	29.1 %
Increased 1-3%		34.8 %	34.2 %
Increased 3-5%		15.2 %	12.7 %
Increased >5%	Increased >5%	14.6 %	22.8 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
This Year's Computing Budget Compared to Last Year's (Continued)			
Identity management			
Reduced >5%		0.9 %	1.3 %
Reduced 3-5%		0.9 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		1.3 %	1.3 %
No change	No change	49.4 %	33.3 %
Increased 1-3%		26.7 %	37.2 %
Increased 3-5%		11.4 %	15.4 %
Increased >5%		9.4 %	11.5 %
Consultants for IT projects and services			
Reduced >5%		5.1 %	7.6 %
Reduced 3-5%		3.8 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		8.3 %	12.7 %
No change		54.6 %	53.2 %
Increased 1-3%		15.9 %	11.4 %
Increased 3-5%	Increased 3-5%	5.6 %	3.8 %
Increased >5%		6.7 %	11.4 %
Data warehousing			
Reduced >5%		1.4 %	1.3 %
Reduced 3-5%		1.1 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		2.9 %	3.8 %
No change		65.0 %	51.9 %
Increased 1-3%	Increased 1-3%	19.3 %	26.6 %
Increased 3-5%		5.4 %	7.6 %
Increased >5%		4.9 %	8.9 %
CRM services/software			
Reduced >5%		1.3 %	0.0 %
Reduced 3-5%		1.4 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		3.3 %	3.8 %
No change	No change	76.5 %	79.8 %
Increased 1-3%		9.9 %	10.1 %
Increased 3-5%		4.2 %	3.8 %
Increased >5%		3.4 %	2.5 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
This Year's Computing Budget Compared to Last Year's (Continued)			
Supporting Open Source projects/applications			
Reduced >5%		2.4 %	2.5 %
Reduced 3-5%		3.3 %	2.5 %
Reduced 1-3%		2.9 %	3.8 %
No change		70.5 %	60.8 %
Increased 1-3%		15.6 %	27.9 %
Increased 3-5%	Increased 3-5%	2.9 %	1.3 %
Increased >5%		2.5 %	1.3 %
Business Continuity			
Reduced >5%		0.9 %	0.0 %
Reduced 3-5%		1.3 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		1.1 %	1.3 %
No change	No change	55.2 %	51.9 %
Increased 1-3%		25.9 %	25.3 %
Increased 3-5%		9.0 %	6.3 %
Increased >5%		6.7 %	15.2 %
Business analytical/Business intelligence products			
Reduced >5%		1.3 %	0.0 %
Reduced 3-5%		2.0 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		2.7 %	5.1 %
No change	No change	63.1 %	59.5 %
Increased 1-3%		19.0 %	21.5 %
Increased 3-5%		6.2 %	5.1 %
Increased >5%		5.8 %	8.9 %
Emergency communication/notification services			
Reduced >5%		1.1 %	1.3 %
Reduced 3-5%		0.2 %	0.0 %
Reduced 1-3%		0.0 %	0.0 %
No change		21.8 %	21.8 %
Increased 1-3%	Increased 1-3%	29.3 %	25.6 %
Increased 3-5%		16.1 %	14.1 %
Increased >5%		31.5 %	37.2 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions Average	Public Universities Average
The Technology Budget			
Percentage institutions experiencing computing budget cut in 2006-07		10.3 %	10.1 %
Percentage of budget that was cut	0 %	0.7 %	0.5 %
Total central computing budget 2007-08	\$ 4,377,083	\$ 6,486,004	\$18,023,026
Percent of budget allocated to:			
Hardware	2 %	19.5 %	14.3 %
Software	1 %	13.6 %	10.3 %
Personnel	59 %	48.1 %	55.4 %
Content licenses	4 %	6.1 %	4.0 %
User support	3 %	15.6 %	17.6 %
Network service/support	29 %	13.5 %	15.7 %
Central computing/IT budget as an estimated percentage of total campus IT spending	70 %	54.1 %	39.1 %
All IT expenditures as an estimated percentage of total campus expenditures	5 %	6.5 %	5.1 %
Current replacement cycle for desktop/notebook computers (years)			
Student labs			
1 year		0.7 %	0.0 %
2 years		5.8 %	5.1 %
3 years	3 years	49.4 %	57.0 %
4 years		36.5 %	35.4 %
5 years		7.6 %	2.5 %
Faculty offices			
1 year		0.0 %	0.0 %
2 years		0.9 %	0.0 %
3 years		32.6 %	34.2 %
4 years	4 years	51.7 %	53.2 %
5 years		14.8 %	12.7 %
Administrative offices			
1 year		0.0 %	0.0 %
2 years		0.5 %	1.3 %
3 years		27.1 %	35.4 %
4 years	4 years	53.2 %	57.0 %
5 years		19.2 %	6.3 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Web and Networking Issues			
How does your institution address the problem of spam?			
Recommend end-user filters	Recommend end-user filters	60.4 %	75.9 %
Deploy server filters	Deploy server filters	98.0 %	96.2 %
Use DNS blacklists	Use DNS blacklists	72.1 %	79.7 %
Other		22.8 %	19.0 %
Does your institution have a plan to upgrade/enhance/replace the campus network?			
No current plan/policy		13.4 %	8.9 %
Under discussion/development	Under discussion/development	32.7 %	31.7 %
Currently funded network replacement/upgrade plan		53.9 %	59.5 %
How important are the following issues on your campus? (Scale from 1 = "Not Important" to 7 = "Very Important")		Average	Average
Supporting instructional labs & clusters	7	6.1	5.8
Creating Web pages for department use and course resources	7	5.2	5.0
Digital image libraries/archives	5	4.9	5.1
Disaster recovery	7	6.2	6.3
Virtual private networks (VPN)	6	5.6	6.0
Network security	7	6.7	6.9
Gigabit ethernet	7	6.0	6.3
Grid computing	5	3.0	4.5
Electronic commerce	6	4.8	4.9
Wireless networks (802.xx stds)	6	6.3	6.4
Wi-Max wireless networks	7	3.9	4.2
Making campus networks accessible to PDA/handheld devices	6	4.2	4.6
Making campus networks accessible to WiFi phones	6	3.7	3.9
Data encryption	6	5.6	5.8
Replacement cycle for network infrastructure	6	5.9	5.9
Identity management	6	5.9	6.3
Internet2	4	3.8	5.5
Spyware	7	5.6	5.5
IT Disaster Communications Capacity	7	5.8	6.1

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Web and Networking Issues (Continued)			
How well developed are network connections and the instructional infrastructure?			
Percentage of faculty connected to the campus network/have Internet access	100 %	99.7 %	99.7 %
Percentage of classrooms connected to the campus network/have Internet access	60 %	94.0 %	89.9 %
Percentage of classrooms with fixed computer projection capacity	20 %	65.5 %	58.7 %
Percentage of dormitory beds with network connection	100 %	75.6 %	94.7 %
Percentage of campus covered/served by wireless network access	25 %	63.6 %	61.7 %
Percentage of classrooms covered/served by wireless network access/services	5 %	60.1 %	60.3 %
Current transmission capacity of your campus network			
High speed video			
Functional now	Functional now	67.0 %	88.6 %
Coming A/Y 2007-08		4.9 %	2.5 %
Scheduled for A/Y 2008-09		7.1 %	0.0 %
Not applicable		21.0 %	8.9 %
ATM			
Functional now	Functional now	16.7 %	24.1 %
Coming A/Y 2007-08		0.5 %	0.0 %
Scheduled for A/Y 2008-09		0.7 %	0.0 %
Not applicable		82.1 %	76.0 %
Local area wireless networks			
Functional now	Functional now	94.6 %	93.7 %
Coming A/Y 2007-08		0.9 %	0.0 %
Scheduled for A/Y 2008-09		1.4 %	2.5 %
Not applicable		3.1 %	3.8 %
Full campus wireless networks			
Functional now	Functional now	43.0 %	38.5 %
Coming A/Y 2007-08		11.4 %	12.8 %
Scheduled for A/Y 2008-09		28.6 %	28.2 %
Not applicable		17.0 %	20.5 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Web and Networking Issues (Continued)			
Current transmission capacity of your campus network			
Gigabit Ethernet			
Functional now	Functional now	85.0 %	92.4 %
Coming A/Y 2007-08		4.4 %	1.3 %
Scheduled for A/Y 2008-09		6.5 %	3.8 %
Not applicable		4.2 %	2.5 %
10 Gigabit Ethernet			
Functional now		21.9 %	50.0 %
Coming A/Y 2007-08		5.3 %	6.4 %
Scheduled for A/Y 2008-09	Scheduled for A/Y 2008-09	20.8 %	18.0 %
Not applicable		52.0 %	25.6 %
Voice over IP			
Functional now		44.8 %	61.5 %
Coming A/Y 2007-08	Coming A/Y 2007-08	8.9 %	7.7 %
Scheduled for A/Y 2008-09		19.4 %	12.8 %
Not applicable		27.0 %	18.0 %
Internet2			
Functional now	Functional now	44.0 %	96.2 %
Coming A/Y 2007-08		3.8 %	1.3 %
Scheduled for A/Y 2008-09		6.5 %	0.0 %
Not applicable		45.7 %	2.5 %
National LambdaRail			
Functional now		11.0 %	41.8 %
Coming A/Y 2007-08		4.3 %	13.9 %
Scheduled for A/Y 2008-09		4.5 %	7.6 %
Not applicable	Not applicable	80.1 %	36.7 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Web and Networking Issues (Continued)			
Does your institution provide off-campus network access services for:			
<i>Dial-up/ISP</i>			
Students			
No	No	72.3 %	46.8 %
Yes, without a fee		24.1 %	41.8 %
Yes, for a fee		3.6 %	11.4 %
Faculty			
No	No	61.3 %	43.0 %
Yes, without a fee		35.1 %	46.8 %
Yes, for a fee		3.6 %	10.1 %
<i>DSL/Broadband</i>			
Students			
No	No	91.9 %	83.5 %
Yes, without a fee		4.3 %	6.3 %
Yes, for a fee		3.8 %	10.1 %
Faculty			
No	No	91.4 %	82.3 %
Yes, without a fee		5.6 %	6.3 %
Yes, for a fee		3.1 %	11.4 %
<i>Wireless</i>			
Students			
No	No	90.5 %	91.1 %
Yes, without a fee		7.9 %	6.3 %
Yes, for a fee		1.6 %	2.5 %
Faculty			
No	No	90.3 %	92.4 %
Yes, without a fee		8.8 %	6.3 %
Yes, for a fee		0.9 %	1.3 %
Number of "plug & play" ports on campus for mobile computer users	450	572.0	897.2
Number of wireless nodes on the campus network	500	284.2	621.9
Does your institution limit the size of email documents/attachments?	Yes	Yes = 80.0 %	Yes = 84.8 %
Maximum file size (Mbytes)	10	22.8	39.1

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Web and Networking Issues (Continued)			
Does your institution limit the size of student web sites?	Yes	Yes = 57.0 %	Yes = 75.9 %
Maximum size (Mbytes)	50	117.0	208.0
Organization, Planning and Impact Issues			
Is your campus part of a multicampus system with shared computing resources?	Yes	Yes = 49.5 %	Yes = 68.4 %
Academic and administrative computing are:			
Separate units		26.3 %	34.2 %
One single unit	One single unit	73.7 %	65.8 %
Has your institution reorganized information services units in the past 2 years?		% Yes	% Yes
Academic computing	No	37.3 %	52.6 %
Administrative computing	No	34.7 %	61.5 %
Libraries	No	12.6 %	9.0 %
Telecom	No	30.9 %	46.2 %
Do you anticipate a reorganization of information services units in the next 2 years?		% Yes	% Yes
Academic computing	Yes	25.5 %	30.8 %
Administrative computing	Yes	24.2 %	28.2 %
Libraries	Yes	11.8 %	14.1 %
Telecom	Yes	24.2 %	26.9 %
The heads of the academic and administrative units report to:			
Academic computing			
President		5.8 %	2.5 %
Provost		12.1 %	16.5 %
CIO or CTO	CIO or CTO	64.0 %	72.2 %
Other vice provost/vice president		13.7 %	5.1 %
Dean		4.5 %	3.8 %
Administrative computing			
President		6.3 %	2.5 %
Provost		6.3 %	10.1 %
CIO or CTO	CIO or CTO	68.7 %	82.3 %
Other vice provost/vice president		16.9 %	5.1 %
Dean		1.8 %	0.0 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Organization, Planning and Impact Issues (Continued)			
The heads of the academic and administrative units report to:			
Libraries			
President		1.1 %	0.0 %
Provost	Provost	64.1 %	86.1 %
CIO or CTO		10.1 %	3.8 %
Other vice provost/vice president		12.4 %	3.8 %
Dean		12.3 %	6.3 %
Does your institution have a chief information/technology officer?			
No		13.1 %	0.0 %
Currently under discussion		2.9 %	1.3 %
Yes	Yes	84.0 %	98.7 %
What academic and operational units report to the CIO/CTO?			
Academic computing	Yes	78.4 %	87.3 %
Administrative computing	Yes	84.9 %	96.2 %
Libraries	No	12.1 %	3.8 %
Media center	No	51.8 %	55.7 %
Telecommunications	Yes	77.0 %	91.1 %
The CIO (or senior institutional computing/IT officer) reports to:			
President	No	36.7 %	30.4 %
Provost/vice president for academic affairs	Yes	27.6 %	43.0 %
CFO/vice president for business/administration affairs	No	28.3 %	17.7 %
Other	No	7.4 %	8.9 %
Is the CIO a member of the president's cabinet/executive committee?			
	Yes	Yes = 51.4 %	Yes = 58.2 %
Which unit provides tech support for most departmental computer labs?			
Individual department		9.2 %	30.4 %
Central IT service unit	Central IT service unit	66.0 %	22.8 %
Both		24.8 %	46.8 %

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Organization, Planning and Impact Issues (Continued)			
How does your institution deal with the "life cycle" of desktop computers?			
One time allocation		7.8 %	19.0 %
Developing budget		23.2 %	31.7 %
Have budget	Have budget	69.1 %	49.4 %
What security incidents did your campus experience in the past year?		% Yes	% Yes
Theft of computer(s) containing confidential data files	No	17.1 %	30.4 %
Hack/attack on the campus network	No	45.6 %	65.8 %
Hack/attack on the student/personnel/alumni data files	No	6.3 %	20.3 %
Hack/attack on administrative/financial files	No	4.3 %	12.7 %
Hack/attack on research data files	No	3.6 %	10.1 %
Other attack on institutional data files	No	8.1 %	21.5 %
Identity management issues	No	20.2 %	36.7 %
Major computer virus infestation	No	14.8 %	19.0 %
Major spyware infestation	No	15.9 %	19.0 %
Student security "incident" related to social networking sites	No	13.2 %	15.2 %
Explore/loss of sensitive data in distributed environment	No	14.6 %	46.8 %
Intentional employee transgressions affecting IT security	No	6.5 %	7.6 %
Security concern for 2007-08 (Scale from 1 = "Low" to 5 = "High")		Average	Average
Theft of computer(s) containing confidential data files	4	4.1	4.4
Hack/attack on the campus network	3	4.1	4.2
Hack/attack on the student/personnel/alumni data files	3	3.8	3.9
Hack/attack on administrative/financial files	4	3.9	4.0
Hack/attack on research data files	3	3.1	3.7
Other attack on institutional data files	3	3.6	3.8
Identity management issues	4	4.0	4.1
Major computer virus infestation	5	3.5	3.5
Major spyware infestation	5	3.5	3.5
Student security "incident" related to social networking sites	4	3.2	3.1
Explore/loss of sensitive data in distributed environment	2	3.5	4.4
Intentional employee transgressions affecting IT security	4	3.1	3.2

Campus Computing 2007

	Southeastern	All Institutions	Public Universities
Organization, Planning and Impact Issues (Continued)			
Campus strategy on Open Source tools for central IT infrastructure services			
None: little if any interest in or deployment of Open Source tools		12.6	7.6
Observing: watching other institutions with interest, but no active deployment		16.9	7.6
Limited use: some activity, primarily backroom/infrastructure tools		38.6	40.5
Operational: significant deployment, focused on key operations	Operational	13.9	21.5
Mission critical: using a number of academic, administrative, and research resources		13.9	19.0
Contributing: strong support and strategy to develop new/enhance current tools		4.1	3.8
Campus strategy on/engagement with Open Source applications			
None: little if any interest in or deployment of Open Source applications		15.1	8.9
Observing: watching other institutions with interest, but no active deployment	Observing	39.1	31.7
Limited use: some activity, primarily testing/deployment in selected departments		24.5	30.4
Operational: significant deployment, focused on key applications		9.4	13.9
Mission critical: using a number of academic, administrative, and research applications		6.3	6.3
Contributing: strong support and strategy to develop new/enhance current applications		5.6	8.9
Open Source projects and personnel			
Number of current Open Source support/development projects in central IT services	3	2.2	3.1
FTE personnel allocated to the projects	1	1.2	2.8
How does your institution address the problem of P2P digital piracy on campus networks?			
Installed a technology solution to stem P2P piracy (Copy Magic, etc.)		29.1	25.3
Mandatory user education program		12.8	24.1
Sanction students for copyright, P2P or DCMA violations	Sanction students for copyright, P2P or DCMA violations	45.9	64.6
Students can lose campus network/email access or privileges for P2P violations		70.5	86.1
Student financial penalty or fine paid to college/university for P2P violations		6.3	10.1